### EDITORIAL

### European Journal of OTO Gynaecological Oncology

# Radiotherapy and gynaecological cancers during the pandemic: the role of hematologic toxicity

Giuseppe Carlo Iorio<sup>1,</sup>\*, Valeria Chiofalo<sup>1</sup>, Umberto Ricardi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oncology, University of Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy

\*Correspondence giorio@cittadellasalute.to.it (Giuseppe Carlo Iorio)

#### Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has killed more than 6.8 million people worldwide since late 2019. A hyperinflammatory condition depending on interleukin-6 (IL-6) rise and hypercytokinemia have been linked with fatality rates. This condition can lead to lymphocyte-deficiency. Lymphopenia came up as a major prognosticator of severe infection cases. Cancer therapies can induce lymphopenia due to reservoir lymphoid organ damage. The principal cause of hematologic toxicity (HT) is usually chemotherapy (ChT). Nonetheless, also radiotherapy contributes to hematologic cell lines impairment, impacting mainly on lymphocytes. Radiation-induced lymphopenia (RIL) has been linked to unfavorable outcomes in various solid tumors. In pelvic cancers, bone marrow (BM) dose-volume metrics have been related to HT occurrence, particularly Gynaecological Cancers. The present times offer an unprecedented opportunity to broadly embrace treatment strategies, as BM sparing (BMS), that avoid reservoir lymphoid organs' suppression and the potential subsequent RIL.

#### Keywords

COVID-19; Lymphopenia; Cancer care; Radiotherapy

## 1. COVID-19, lymphopenia and cancer care

The COVID-19 pandemic has killed more than 6.8 million people worldwide since late 2019 [1].

During the outbreak, accumulating evidence suggested that a subgroup of patients with severe disease developed a cytokine storm syndrome linked to an increased mortality rate [2].

This hyperinflammatory state may lead to lymphocyte deficiency through apoptosis, IL-6 and other pro-inflammatory cytokines levels rise [3, 4]. Of interest, lymphocyte death can be caused by direct lymphocytes infection by the virus. Furthermore, the virus may directly enter lymphocytes by angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors, possibly resulting in lymphatic organs' attack [3]. Low lymphocyte count emerged as a significant predictor of gravity in COVID-19 patients [3]. Lymphopenia, indeed, has been correlated to a three-fold increase in severity of COVID-19, with a significant lower lymphocyte count observed in critical infections than in non-critical ones [4, 5].

Cancer treatments commonly lead to lymphopenia as a side effect and can influence its incidence and severity [6]. Chemotherapy (ChT) is considered the main cause of hematologic toxicity (HT) [7]. Radiotherapy (RT) has its impact on hematologic cell lines' impairment, through a well-documented and extensively described reduction of lymphocytes count [7]. Lymphocytes are notably the most radiosensitive cells within the hematopoietic system, with only 1.5 Gy considered to be the lethal dose required to reduce the surviving fraction of lymphocytes by 50% (LD50) [8, 9]. The interplay between RT and immunomodulation has been investigated by several pre-clinical and clinical studies. Lymphocytes have a pivotal role in the anti-neoplastic immunity. Furthermore, lymphopenia has been linked to an increased risk of opportunistic infections, poorer oncologic outcomes and decreased survival in several cancer types [6, 7].

In this regard, non-negligible HT and lymphopenia rates are observed in gynaecological cancer patients undergoing combined modality treatments with RT and ChT [7].

Thus, during the present Pandemic, clinicians involved in the gynaecological cancer arena should adopt specific treatment strategies to pursue the cure and simultaneously limit the COVID infection- risk.

# 2. Radiotherapy and lymphopenia, the biological underpinnings

Ionizing radiation has a crucial role in immune-regulation and cancer progression, as it upregulates Fas ligand (FasL), a ligand involved in the lymphocyte's apoptosis pathway. FasL upregulation has been linked to disease progression in solid tumors [10–12]. Furthermore, increased FasL level within the bone marrow stem-cells has been observed to impair lymphocyte function [10, 13]. RT can also lead to increased IL-6 serum levels, which can decrease lymphocytes levels [14–

This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).Eur. J. Gynaecol. Oncol. 2023 vol.44(6), 1-3©2023 The Author(s). Published by MRE Press.

16]. IL-6 also plays a role in tumorigenesis, and can favor tumor progression. Indeed, the Janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) signaling hyperactivation is observed in different types of tumors and it is associated with worse outcomes [14-18]. An aberrant IL-6/JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway activation has been observed to influence tumor growth by either driving tumor cell proliferation or suppressing the antitumor response [17]. In addition, STAT3 signaling hyperactivation has been related to both ChT and RT resistance [19]. Thus, radiation-induced FasL upregulation and the pro-inflammatory cytokines increase, through lymphopenia, may enhance cancer progression. Therefore, targeting IL-6 may help enhancing tumor control [14–16]. Tocilizumab, a monoclonal anybody blocking IL-6 activity, may theoretically be efficient in limiting tumor progression [17]. Thereupon, some authors are currently investigating the potential benefit of a synergic effect of tocilizumab in addition to RT on improving treatment response and patients' survival in different solid cancers treatment scenarios [19-22].

#### 3. Radiation-induced lymphopenia in gynaecological malignancies

Radiation induced lymphopenia (RIL) has been observed to worsen prognosis in different solid tumors, including nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), glioblastoma, pancreatic, esophageal, head and neck and pelvic cancers [7, 23].

The risk of RIL has been associated with unintentional dose to circulating immune cells (EDRIC—immune cells circulating in heart, lung, blood vessels, lymphatic system and blood reservoirs), particularly in thoracic cancers [7]. In the pelvic cancers scenario, incidental bone marrow (BM) irradiation has been identified as a RIL trigger due to myelosuppression [7].

In this regard, BM dose-volume metrics have been related to the risk of developing HT, especially in gynaecological cancer patients [7].

BM is only a part of the pelvic reservoir lymphoid organs [6]. However, radiation-induced pelvic BM suppression is a recognized predictor of leukopenia and lymphopenia [7].

This can be explained considering that almost half of the active BM (aBM) is estimated to be in the pelvic and lumbar vertebrae (in the adult population) [7].

Additionally, it is necessary to consider that the other pelvic reservoir lymphoid organs, such as lymph nodes, pelvic blood vessels and the dense-associated lymphoid tissue within the gut mucosa, are difficult to spare [6].

Flourishing literature is available regarding BM-related toxicity in gynaecological cancers, and data from cervical cancer suggest that limiting BM volumes receiving low radiation doses is essential to decrease/avoid grade 2–3 HT (*e.g.*, pelvic BM V10 <90–95% and V20 <76–80%) [7].

In a series of patients with cervical cancer undergoing ChT-RT, Albuquerque *et al.* [24] showed and increased risk of developing grade 2 or greater HT with the whole-pelvic BM (WPBM) V20 >80%. Three-dimensional conformal RT (3D-CRT) was employed in this series, and the authors advocated for pelvic intensity modulated RT (IMRT) as a strategy to decrease unintended BM radiation exposure [24].

RTOG-0418 included also endometrial cancers among oth-

ers. In this study, BM V40 and median BM dose have been linked to higher rates of grade 2 or worse HT [25].

Data from another series, analyzing data from 83 cervical cancer patients, showed a significant decrease in HT, mainly grade 3 or worse neutropenia, thanks to BM sparing (BMS) procedures *via* PET-guided identification of aBM components [26].

Similar dosimetric findings have also been observed for anal and rectal patients. Of interest, data in a series of a mixed population of pelvic cancers (including rectal, cervical, anal, vaginal and bladder) undergoing ChT-RT, McGuire *et al.* [27] reported lymphopenia as the most common toxicity recorded.

Among possible future approaches to address pelvic myelosuppression, proton therapy (PT) offers theoretical enormous advantages. Indeed, PT's steep dose fall-off allows optimal pelvic normal tissue sparing [28]. In this regard, Dinges *et al.* [28] investigated the use of intensity-modulated PT (IMPT) for BMS in cervical cancer patients. With IMPT plans a significant reduction of aBM volume receiving a dose between 5 and 40 Gy was reported (compared to IMRT plans).

#### 4. Closing remarks

When utilizing large elective RT volumes in treating gynaecological cancer, radiation oncologists should be cautious during the pandemic. The present times offer an unprecedented opportunity to broadly embrace treatment strategies (*e.g.*, BMS) that avoid reservoir lymphoid organs suppression and the potential subsequent RIL.

#### AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

Not applicable.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

GCI and VC—gave the idea and wrote the main manuscript text. GCI, VC and UR—decided the method of the literature review. GCI, VC and UR—reviewed references. All authors contributed to editorial changes in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to its accuracy or integrity.

### ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Not applicable.

#### FUNDING

This research received no external funding.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest. GCI served as one of the Guest editors of this journal. Nonetheless, GCI had no involvement in the peer review of this article and has no access to information regarding its peer review. Full responsibility for the editorial process for this article was delegated to EH.

#### REFERENCES

- Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. COVID-19 Map. 2023. Available at: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html (Accessed: 06 June 2023).
- [2] Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. The Lancet. 2020; 395: 1033–1034.
- [3] Tan L, Wang Q, Zhang D, Ding J, Huang Q, Tang YQ, *et al.* Lymphopenia predicts disease severity of COVID-19: a descriptive and predictive study. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy. 2020; 5: 33.
- [4] Zhao Q, Meng M, Kumar R, Wu Y, Huang J, Deng Y, et al. Lymphopenia is associated with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infections: a systemic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2020; 96: 131–135.
- [5] Henry BM. COVID-19, ECMO, and lymphopenia: a word of caution. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2020; 8: e24.
- [6] Lambin P, Lieverse RIY, Eckert F, Marcus D, Oberije C, van der Wiel AMA, *et al.* Lymphocyte-sparing radiotherapy: the rationale for protecting lymphocyte-rich organs when combining radiotherapy with immunotherapy. Seminars in Radiation Oncology. 2020; 30: 187–193.
- [7] Iorio GC, Spieler BO, Ricardi U, Dal Pra A. The impact of pelvic nodal radiotherapy on hematologic toxicity: a systematic review with focus on leukopenia, lymphopenia and future perspectives in prostate cancer treatment. Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology. 2021; 168: 103497.
- [8] Trowell OA. The sensitivity of lymphocytes to ionising radiation. The Journal of Pathology and Bacteriology. 1952; 64: 687–704.
- [9] Nakamura N, Kusunoki Y, Akiyama M. Radiosensitivity of CD4 or CD8 positive human T-lymphocytes by an *in vitro* colony formation assay. Radiation Research. 1990; 123: 224–227.
- [10] Mussano F, Lee KJ, Zuk P, Tran L, Cacalano NA, Jewett A, et al. Differential effect of ionizing radiation exposure on multipotent and differentiation restricted bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry. 2010; 111: 322–332.
- [11] Belka C, Marini P, Budach W, Schulze-Osthoff K, Lang F, Gulbins E, et al. Radiation-induced apoptosis in human lymphocytes and lymphoma cells critically relies on the up-regulation of CD95/Fas/APO-1 ligand. Radiation Research. 1998; 149: 588–595.
- [12] Kim R, Emi M, Tanabe K, Uchida Y, Toge T. The role of Fas ligand and transforming growth factor beta in tumor progression: molecular mechanisms of immune privilege *via* Fas-mediated apoptosis and potential targets for cancer therapy. Cancer. 2004; 100: 2281–2291.
- [13] Gao K, Chen Y, Wei L, Li S, Jin X, Cong C, et al. Inhibitory effect of mesenchymal stem cells on lymphocyte proliferation. Cell Biochemistry and Function. 2008; 26: 900–907.
- [14] Iorio GC, Ricardi U, Franco P. The importance of IL-6 blockade beyond the COVID-19 pandemic: consideration for cancer care. Radiotherapy and Oncology. 2020; 151: 24–25.
- [15] Iorio GC, Ricardi U, Dal Pra A. Radiation-induced lymphopenia beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. Frontiers in Oncology. 2020; 10: 617302.
- <sup>[16]</sup> Iorio GC, Ammendolia A, Marotta N, Ricardi U, de Sire A. A bond

between rheumatic diseases and cancer in the elderly: the interleukin-6 pathway. International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases. 2021; 24: 1317–1320.

- [17] Johnson DE, O'Keefe RA, Grandis JR. Targeting the IL-6/JAK/STAT3 signalling axis in cancer. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology. 2018; 15: 234–248.
- <sup>[18]</sup> Weber R, Groth C, Lasser S, Arkhypov I, Petrova V, Altevogt P, et al. IL-6 as a major regulator of MDSC activity and possible target for cancer immunotherapy. Cellular Immunology. 2021; 359: 104254.
- [19] Matsuoka Y, Nakayama H, Yoshida R, Hirosue A, Nagata M, Tanaka T, et al. IL-6 controls resistance to radiation by suppressing oxidative stress via the Nrf2-antioxidant pathway in oral squamous cell carcinoma. British Journal of Cancer. 2016; 115: 1234–1244.
- [20] U.S. National Institutes of Health. TRIPPLE-R: phase 2 study in pretreated patients with advanced pancreatic cancer to assess efficacy of ipilimumab, nivolumab and tocilizumab in combination with radiation. 2021. Available at: ClinicalTrials.gov (Accessed: 06 January 2022).
- [21] Abdel-Wahab N, Montazari E, Spillson C, Bentebibel S E, Awiwi M, Elsayes K M, et al. Tocilizumab, ipilimumab, and nivolumab for the treatment of advanced melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, or urothelial carcinoma. 2022. Available at: https://ascopubs.org/doi/abs/10. 1200/JC0.2022.40.16\_suppl.TPS9600 (Accessed: 02 June 2022).
- [22] U.S. National Cancer Institute. Tocilizumab, ipilimumab, and nivolumab for the treatment of advanced melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, or urothelial carcinoma. 2022. Available at: ClinicalTrials.gov (Accessed: 06 June 2023).
- [23] Han X, Yang Q, Zhang J, Cao J. Correlation between changes in the number of peripheral blood lymphocytes and survival rate in patients with cervical cancer after radio-chemotherapy. Cancer/RadiothéRapie. 2021; 25: 72–76.
- [24] Albuquerque K, Giangreco D, Morrison C, Siddiqui M, Sinacore J, Potkul R, *et al.* Radiation-related predictors of hematologic toxicity after concurrent chemoradiation for cervical cancer and implications for bone marrow—sparing pelvic IMRT. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. 2011; 79: 1043–1047.
- <sup>[25]</sup> Klopp AH, Moughan J, Portelance L, Miller BE, Salehpour MR, Hildebrandt E, *et al.* Hematologic toxicity in RTOG 0418: a phase 2 study of postoperative IMRT for gynecologic cancer. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. 2013; 86: 83–90.
- <sup>[26]</sup> Mell LK, Sirák I, Wei L, Tarnawski R, Mahantshetty U, Yashar CM, *et al.* Bone marrow-sparing intensity modulated radiation therapy with concurrent cisplatin for stage IB–IVA cervical cancer: an international multicenter phase II clinical trial (INTERTECC-2). International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. 2017; 97: 536–545.
- [27] McGuire SM, Bhatia SK, Sun W, Jacobson GM, Menda Y, Ponto LL, et al. Using [<sup>18</sup>F]fluorothymidine imaged with positron emission tomography to quantify and reduce hematologic toxicity due to chemoradiation therapy for pelvic cancer patients. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. 2016; 96: 228–239.
- [28] Dinges E, Felderman N, McGuire S, Gross B, Bhatia S, Mott S, *et al.* Bone marrow sparing in intensity modulated proton therapy for cervical cancer: efficacy and robustness under range and setup uncertainties. Radiotherapy and Oncology. 2015; 115: 373–378.

**How to cite this article:** Giuseppe Carlo Iorio, Valeria Chiofalo, Umberto Ricardi. Radiotherapy and gynaecological cancers during the pandemic: the role of hematologic toxicity. European Journal of Gynaecological Oncology. 2023; 44(6): 1-3. doi: 10.22514/ejgo.2023.092.