### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

### European Journal of OTO Gynaecological Oncology

# Three serum miRNAs as potential biomarkers for early diagnosis of cervical cancer

Xiujuan Li<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Xu Zhang<sup>2,†</sup>, Minjie Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Xiaojuan Luan<sup>4</sup>, Diangeng Li<sup>5</sup>, Meiling Jin<sup>6</sup>, Xinyu Wen<sup>2</sup>, Jingzhu Nan<sup>2,</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, Beijing Friendship Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University, 100050 Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, the 1st Medical Centre, Chinese PLA General Hospital, 100853 Beijing, China <sup>3</sup>Medical Innovation Research Division of Chinese PLA General Hospital, 100853 Beijing, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, Qingdao Third People's Hospital, 266041 Qingdao, Shandong, China <sup>5</sup>Department of Scientific Research,

Beijing Chaoyang Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University, 100020 Beijing, China

<sup>6</sup>Department of Nephrology, Beijing Chaoyang Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University, 100020 Beijing, China

#### \*Correspondence

nanjingzhu0885@hotmail.com (Jingzhu Nan)

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally.

### Abstract

This study aimed to analyze the changes associated with serum miRNAs in patients with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) and cervical cancer in predicting early-stage cervical cancer. A total of 229 serum samples were collected to extract miRNAs. The expression level of miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p were determined via qRT-PCR (Quantitative Real-time PCR), and their relative expressions were calculated using the equation:  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ . We observed significantly higher expression levels of serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p in the cervical diseases (CIN and cervical cancer) compared with controls. The expression levels of serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p in cervical cancer were significantly higher than in controls. The relative expressions of serum miR-146b-5p and miR-192-5p in CIN were significantly higher than controls. However, there was no difference in three miRNAs between CIN and cervical cancer. The relative expressions of serum miR-146b-5p in patients classified as FIGO (International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics) stage I were significantly higher than in controls and CIN. The AUC (area under the ROC curve) of the three miRNAs combined with SCC (squamous cell carcinoma antigen) in diagnosing cervical cancer was 0.840, and the sensitivity and specificity were 0.632 and 0.957, respectively. Serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p showed promising efficacy as noninvasive biomarkers to accurately diagnose cervical cancer and demonstrated certain early diagnostic values, especially miR-146b-5p and miR-192-5p. The combined use of the three serum miRNAs with SCC could further improve the diagnostic efficiency for cervical cancer.

### Keywords

Cervical cancer; Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; miR-146b-5p; miR-192-5p; miR-122-5p

### **1. Introduction**

Cervical cancer is the most common gynecological malignant tumor threatening women's health and life. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) estimation, in 2018, there were about 570,000 new cervical cancer cases and 311,000 deaths worldwide [1], and in 2020, just two years later, the estimated new cervical cancer cases and deaths increased to 604,000 and 342,000 worldwide [2].

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, a special type of sexually transmitted disease, is an important cause of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) and cervical cancer and was reported to be the main etiology in nearly 80% of all cervical cancer cases [3]. In recent decades, the widespread application of cervical cytology screening has enabled the early detection and treatment of cervical cancer and its precancerous lesions. In developed countries, the expanded production and vaccination campaigns of the HPV vaccine have significantly reduced cervical cancer incidence rate and mortality.

MicroRNA (miRNA) is an endogenous single-stranded noncoding RNA with 21~25 nucleotides in length and multiple regulatory functions in eukaryotes and viruses. It is highly conserved in evolution [4]. Studies have shown that miRNA plays an important regulatory role in cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, metabolism and tumorigenesis [5–7]. In recent years, the relationship between miRNA and cervical cancer has also been widely investigated [8–11].

Pathological diagnosis remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of cervical cancer. However, the high incidence rate and mortality of cervical cancer make it urgent to use newer experimental methods as attempts to improve the early detection and diagnosis of the disease. Thus, it could be of great significance to analyze the mechanisms of cell carcinogenesis at the molecular level and find novel and more effective biomarkers of cervical cancer for its early clinical diagnosis and prevention.

In an earlier study, we reported our preliminary sequencing results and serum miRNAs expression profile in cervical cancer, cervical lesions and healthy controls *via* Solexa sequencing. Further analysis of the screening results identified three differentially expressed and potentially significant miRNAs: hsa-miR-146b-5p (miR-146b-5p), hsa-miR-192-5p (miR-192-5p) and hsa-miR-122-5p (miR-122-5p). Thus, in this study, we evaluated the significance of these miRNAs in early cervical cancer diagnosis by detecting their expression levels in the serum samples of CIN and cervical cancer patients.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Patients and controls

For this study, the data of 229 cases, comprising 61 CIN cases, 69 cervical cancer cases and 99 controls, were retrieved and assessed. All serum samples were collected at the Chinese PLA (People's Liberation Army) General Hospital. All patients were diagnosed with CIN or cervical cancer and were hospitalized and treated at the Department of Gynecology of our hospital from March 2017 to May 2019. The control group comprised individuals who received routine physical examinations in our hospital during the same period.

The pathological results were confirmed by histopathology after tumor resection, and the tumor was staged according to the standards of the International Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology [12]. For patients unsuitable for surgical treatment, the histopathological characteristics and tumor stages were confirmed by histobiopsy and imaging.

### 2.2 Serum sample collection

The serum samples of all patients in this study were collected before any treatment procedure (*i.e.*, surgery, chemotherapy or radiotherapy). Venous blood from all participants on an empty stomach was drawn in the morning into vacuum blood collection vessels (Greiner bio-one VACUETTE® Blood Collection Tubes), centrifuged at 3500 rpm (2390 × g) for 7 minutes to separate serum, and followed by a 15 minutes high-speed centrifugation at 12,000 × g. Lastly, the supernatant sera were stored at -80 °C ultra-low temperature refrigerator for further analysis.

### 2.3 miRNA extraction

Total RNA isolation from the serum was performed using the Ambion® mirVana<sup>TM</sup> miRNA Isolation Kit (Cat# AM1560, Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was measured using the Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000C Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) at the 260 nm (A260) absorbance.

### 2.4 Quantitative Real-Time PCR (RT-qPCR)

First, 5  $\mu$ L of total RNA was reversed transcribed into cDNA using the TIANGEN miRNA First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (KR211, TIANGEN Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). Quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed on the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Then, 2  $\mu$ L of reversed transcribed cDNA was used for the amplification reaction.

The TIANGEN miRcute Plus miRNA qPCR Detection Kit (KR211, TIANGEN Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) was used for the RT-qPCR amplification of the miRNA (SYBR Green, FP411). U6 snRNA was used as the endogenous control. The primers of the investigated three miRNAs in RT-qPCR and U6 endogenous control were purchased from TIANGEN Biotech (Beijing) Co., Ltd. The final reaction volume was 20  $\mu$ L. All the reactions were conducted in triplicate.

### 2.5 miRNA relative expression analysis (fold change)

The relative expression levels of the markers were analyzed using the equation:  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  [13], whereby  $\Delta\Delta Ct = (Ct_{target} miRNA - Ct_{U6})_{Patient} - (Ct_{target} miRNA - Ct_{U6})_{Control}$ .

### 2.6 Detection of tumor markers

The tumor markers of alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125), carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199) and carbohydrate antigen 724 (CA724) were detected by Roche Cobas8000-E602 automatic electrochemiluminescence analyzer (Cobas8000, Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). The reagents were provided by Roche Diagnostics (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. Additionally, the serum levels of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) antigen were detected by the Abbott Architect i2000SR automatic immune analyzer (Abbott Diagnostics, Lake Forest, IL, USA).

### 2.7 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative data were uniformly expressed as median (Q25–Q75) and assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test. For the three miRNAs, we constructed a subject operating characteristic (ROC) curve and calculated the corresponding area under the ROC curve (AUC) to evaluate the specificity and sensitivity of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cervical cancer prediction. Spearman correlation analysis was used for correlation analysis. p value < 0.05 was used to denote statistical significance.

### 3. Results

## 3.1 Basic clinical data of controls and patients

Clinical data were queried from the medical record query system of the Chinese PLA General Hospital. The patients in the disease group had confirmed diagnoses of CIN and/or cervical cancer after clinicopathological assessment. All study participants had no other major diseases, including serious cardiovascular diseases, autoimmune diseases, nervous system diseases and other concurrent cancers. There were 130 cases in the disease group, comprising 61 CIN cases and 69 cervical cancer cases. The controls comprised 99 healthy women. Of the investigated cases, 52.3% of patients with cervical diseases come to our hospital because of vaginal bleeding. Clinical data of patients based on gynecological examinations were also retrieved and assessed. Tumor marker assessment showed that the levels of CEA and SCC in the disease group were significantly higher than controls (Table 1).

### 3.2 Comparison of clinical data between CIN and cervical cancer

The age, BMI (body mass index), CEA, SCC, number of births and age at menarche of patients from the cervical cancer group were significantly higher than the CIN group (p < 0.05). The percentages of vaginal bleeding and contact bleeding in patients with cervical cancer were higher than CIN, 72.5% and 73.9%, respectively, and the lesions of 75.4% of the cervical cancer patients were in the anterior part of the uterus. Other differences between CIN and cervical cancer, such as gynecological examination, are shown in Table 2.

### 3.3 Serum miRNA expression in cervical diseases and controls

Compared with the controls, the relative expressions of serum miR-146b-5p (p < 0.001), miR-192-5p (p < 0.001) and miR-122-5p (p = 0.037) were significantly increased in the cervical diseases group. Additionally, the relative expression levels of serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p in the cervical disease group were 1.80, 2.52 and 2.85 times, respectively (Fig. 1).

### 3.4 Comparison of serum miRNA relative expression between CIN and cervical cancer

The relative expression of serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p in patients with cervical cancer was significantly higher than in controls, with the relative expression level being 2.04, 2.67 and 4.33 times higher than the control groups, respectively. For patients with CIN, the relative expression of serum miR-146b-5p and miR-192-5p was significantly higher by 1.57 and 2.36 times than controls, respectively. However, we observed no significant difference in the relative expression of serum miRNA between CIN and cervical cancer patients (Fig. 2).

Furthermore, we compared the relative expression of the three serum miRNA levels of CIN and early cervical cancer (classified as FIGO stage I). The results showed that the degree of miR-146b-5p in FIGO stage I patients was significantly higher than that in CIN patients, while the level of miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p was not significantly different between the two groups. The miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p

levels in patients classified as FIGO stage I were significantly higher than in controls (Fig. 3).

# 3.5 ROC curve analysis of serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p and tumor markers for diagnosing CIN

The diagnostic efficacy of CIN was evaluated by ROC curve analysis, which showed that the AUC of 0.646 (95% CI: 0.511– 0.781, p = 0.034), 0.839 (95% CI: 0.751–0.927, p < 0.001) and 0.568 (95% CI: 0.422–0.714, p = 0.325) for miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity of miR-192-5p were 0.720 and 0.836, respectively, which were superior compared to the other two miRNAs (Fig. 4 and Table 3). Comparatively, the AUC for CEA was 0.542 (95% CI: 0.449–0.636, p = 0.369) and that of SCC was 0.603 (95% CI: 0.449–0.758, p = 0.177).

### 3.6 ROC curve analysis of serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p and tumor markers for diagnosing cervical cancer

The diagnostic efficacy of cervical cancer was evaluated by ROC curve analysis, which showed that the AUC of miR-146b-5p was 0.720 (95% CI: 0.607–0.833, p < 0.001), while that of miR-192-5p was 0.775 (95% CI: 0.669–0.880, p <0.001) and that of miR-122-5p was 0.644 (95% CI: 0.523-0.765, p = 0.017), respectively. The specificity of miR-146b-5p and miR-192-5p was 0.918 and 0.902, respectively, and the sensitivity of miR-122-5p was the best, at 0.697. Next, we included the three serum miRNAs, CEA and SCC into the binary logistic regression analysis using the Conditional forward method. After screening, the three serum miRNAs and SCC were entered into the model, which generated prediction probability using ROC curve analysis. The AUC under the ROC curve of the three miRNAs combined with SCC for diagnosing cervical cancer was 0.840 and demonstrated a sensitivity and specificity of 0.632 and 0.957, respectively (Fig. 5 and Table 4).

## 3.7 Correlation analysis of three serum miRNAs

Correlation analysis showed that serum miR-146b-5p was positively correlated with miR-192-5p (r = 0.629, p < 0.001) and miR-122-5p (r = 0.711, p < 0.001). Additionally, we also observed a positive correlation between miR-192-5p and miR-



FIGURE 1. Serum miRNA expression in cervical diseases (CIN and cervical cancer) and controls.

| Characteristics                                  | Classification              | Patients (130 cases) | Controls (99 cases) | <i>p</i> value |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Age (yr, M (Q25–Q75))                            |                             | 45 (38–53)           | 29 (24–42)          | < 0.001        |
| Tumor markers                                    |                             |                      |                     |                |
|  | AFP (µg/L, M (Q25–Q75))     | 3.03 (1.47-4.04)     | 2.59 (1.93-3.42)    | 0.864          |
|  | CEA (µg/L, M (Q25–Q75))     | 1.48 (1.09–3.16)     | 1.08 (0.77–1.62)    | 0.002          |
|  | CA125 (u/mL, M (Q25–Q75))   | 14.94 (9.85–21.63)   | 14.99 (11.65–21.08) | 0.953          |
|  | CA199 (u/mL, M (Q25–Q75))   | 9.65 (7.19–13.96)    | 11.33 (7.13–16.10)  | 0.698          |
|  | CA724 (u/mL, M (Q25–Q75))   | 2.26 (1.31-6.31)     | 1.87 (1.08–4.73)    | 0.324          |
|  | SCC (ng/mL, M (Q25-Q75))    | 1.2 (0.7–3.7)        | 0.7 (0.5–1.0)       | < 0.001        |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> , M (Q25–Q75))            |                             | 23.0 (21.5-25.0)     |                     |                |
| Body Surface Area (m <sup>2</sup> , M (Q25–Q75)) |                             | 1.64 (1.57–1.72)     |                     |                |
| Reason for Seeking Medical Care                  |                             |                      |                     |                |
|  | Vaginal bleeding            | 52.3% (68/130)       |                     |                |
|  | Physical examination        | 33.8% (44/130)       |                     |                |
|  | Cervical lesions            | 6.9% (9/130)         |                     |                |
|  | Abnormal vaginal discharge  | 3.8% (5/130)         |                     |                |
|  | Others                      | 3.1% (4/130)         |                     |                |
| Number of pregnancies (n, M (Q25–Q75))           |                             | 3 (2–4)              |                     |                |
| Number of births (n, M (Q25–Q75))                |                             | 1 (1–2)              |                     |                |
| Age at menarche (yr, M (Q25–Q75))                |                             | 14 (14–16)           |                     |                |
| Menopausal status                                |                             |                      |                     |                |
|  | Postmenopausal              | 30.8% (40/130)       |                     |                |
|  | Premenopausal               | 69.2% (90/130)       |                     |                |
| CIN classification (%)                           |                             | 46.9% (61/130)       |                     |                |
|  | CIN I                       | 3.3% (2/61)          |                     |                |
|  | CIN I–II                    | 3.3% (2/61)          |                     |                |
|  | CIN II                      | 13.1% (8/61)         |                     |                |
|  | CIN II–III                  | 26.2% (16/61)        |                     |                |
|  | CIN III                     | 54.1% (33/61)        |                     |                |
| Cervical Cancer (FIGO Stage)                     |                             | 53.1% (69/130)       |                     |                |
|  | Stage I                     | 58.0% (40/69)        |                     |                |
|  | Stage II                    | 40.6% (28/69)        |                     |                |
|  | Stage III                   | 1.4% (1/69)          |                     |                |
| Gynecological examination                        |                             |                      |                     |                |
| Examination of the vulva                         |                             |                      |                     |                |
|  | Atrophy                     | 3.1% (4/130)         |                     |                |
|  | Varicose Veins of the Vulva | 0.7% (1/130)         |                     |                |
| Vaginal examination                              |                             |                      |                     |                |
|  | Disappearance of fornix     | 1.5% (2/130)         |                     |                |
|  | Poor ductility              | 1.5% (2/130)         |                     |                |
|  | Abnormal vaginal mucosa     | 2.3% (3/130)         |                     |                |
|  | Vaginal odor                | 12.3% (16/130)       |                     |                |
|  | Bloody secretion            | 12.3% (16/130)       |                     |                |

TABLE 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients and controls.

| TABLE 1. Continued.        |                        |                      |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Characteristics            | Classification         | Patients (130 cases) | Controls (99 cases) | <i>p</i> value |  |  |  |  |
| Cervical examination       |                        |                      |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Cervical erosion       | 46.9% (61/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Cauliflower-like tumor | 23.1% (30/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Hypertrophy            | 30% (39/130)         |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Atrophy                | 2.3% (3/130)         |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Cervical cyst          | 9.2% (12/130)        |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Contact bleeding       | 50.8% (66/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Cervical bleeding      | 20.8% (27/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
| Uterine examination        |                        |                      |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Anterior uterus        | 66.9% (87/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Median uterus          | 12.3% (16/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Posterior uterus       | 20.8% (27/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Atrophy                | 8.5% (11/130)        |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Enlarge                | 11.5% (15/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Hypertrophy            | 1.5% (2/130)         |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Surface irregularity   | 11.5% (15/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Poor mobility          | 6.2% (8/130)         |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Tenderness             | 11.5% (15/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
| Uterine adnexa examination |                        |                      |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Adnexal mass           | 2.3% (3/130)         |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Tenderness             | 0.8% (1/130)         |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
| Involving glands           |                        | 40.0% (52/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |
| HPV test                   | Positive               | 21.5% (28/130)       |                     |                |  |  |  |  |

AFP: alpha-fetoprotein; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; CA: carbohydrate antigen; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma antigen; CIN: cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; HPV: human papillomavirus; BMI: body mass index; FIGO: International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics.



**FIGURE 2.** Comparison of serum miRNA relative expression between CIN and cervical cancer. CIN: cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; FIGO: International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics.

76

| Characteristics   | Classification              | CIN (n = 61)        | Cervical cancer $(n = 69)$ | <i>p</i> value |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Age (yr, M (Q25–Q75))   |                             | 42 (33.0–49.5)      | 47 (39.5–56.5)             | 0.006          |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> , M (Q25–Q75))                                 |                             | 22.1 (21.1–24.2)    | 24.0 (22.2–26.3)           | 0.003          |
| Body Surface Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) (m <sup>2</sup> , M<br>(Q25–Q75)) |                             | 1.62 (1.56–1.67)    | 1.66 (1.57–1.73)           | 0.118          |
| Number of pregnancies (n, M (Q25–Q75))                                |                             | 3 (2-4)             | 3 (2–4)                    | 0.445          |
| Number of births (n, M (Q25–Q75))                                     |                             | 1 (1–1.5)           | 1 (1–2)                    | 0.028          |
| Age at menarche (yr, M (Q25–Q75))                                     |                             | 14 (13–15)          | 15 (14–17)                 | 0.011          |
| Menopausal status   |                             |                     |                            |                |
|   | Postmenopausal              | 19.7% (12/61)       | 40.6% (28/69)              | 0.013          |
|   | Premenopausal               | 80.3% (49/61)       | 59.4% (41/69)              |                |
| Tumor markers   |                             |                     |                            |                |
|   | AFP (µg/L)                  | 2.31 (1.36-4.11)    | 3.03 (1.70-4.04)           | 0.770          |
|   | CEA (µg/L)                  | 1.16 (0.95–1.44)    | 1.87 (1.26–3.59)           | 0.046          |
|   | CA125 (u/mL)                | 16.84 (10.83–20.54) | 14.75 (9.83–28.91)         | 0.887          |
|   | CA199 (u/mL)                | 9.83 (7.73–11.96)   | 9.46 (7.06–15.50)          | 0.797          |
|   | CA724 (u/mL)                | 3.07 (1.38-5.79)    | 2.07 (1.31-6.70)           | 0.730          |
|   | SCC (ng/mL)                 | 0.9 (0.5–1.2)       | 1.4 (0.8–4.6)              | 0.006          |
| Reason for Seeking Medical Care                                       |                             |                     |                            |                |
|   | Vaginal bleeding            | 29.5% (18/61)       | 72.5% (50/69)              | < 0.001        |
|   | Physical examination        | 52.4% (32/61)       | 17.4 (12/69)               | < 0.001        |
|   | Cervical lesions            | 11.5% (7/61)        | 2.9% (2/69)                | 0.082          |
|   | Abnormal vaginal discharge  | 3.3% (2/61)         | 4.3% (3/69)                | 1.000          |
|   | Others                      | 3.3% (2/61)         | 2.9% (2/69)                | 1.000          |
| Gynecological examination   |                             |                     |                            |                |
| Examination of the vulva  |                             |                     |                            |                |
|   | Atrophy                     | 0% (0/61)           | 5.8% (4/69)                | 0.122          |
|   | Varicose Veins of the Vulva | 1.6% (1/61)         | 0% (0/69)                  | 0.469          |
| Vaginal examination   |                             |                     |                            |                |
|   | Disappearance of fornix     | 0% (0/61)           | 2.9% (2/69)                | 0.498          |
|   | Poor ductility              | 1.6% (1/61)         | 1.4% (1/69)                | 1.000          |
|   | Abnormal vaginal mucosa     | 0% (0/61)           | 4.3% (3/69)                | 0.247          |
|   | Vaginal odor                | 6.6% (4/61)         | 17.4% (12/69)              | 0.058          |
|   | Bloody secretion            | 1.6% (1/61)         | 21.7% (15/69)              | < 0.001        |
| Cervical examination  |                             |                     |                            |                |
|   | Cervical erosion            | 62.3% (38/61)       | 33.3% (23/69)              | 0.001          |
|   | Cauliflower-like tumor      | 1.6% (1/61)         | 42.0% (29/69)              | < 0.001        |
|   | Hypertrophy                 | 37.7% (23/61)       | 23.2% (16/69)              | 0.086          |
|   | Atrophy                     | 1.6% (1/61)         | 2.9% (2/69)                | 1.000          |
|   | Cervical cyst               | 14.8% (9/61)        | 4.3% (3/69)                | 0.066          |
|   | Contact bleeding            | 24.6% (15/61)       | 73.9% (51/69)              | < 0.001        |
|   | Cervical bleeding           | 14.8% (9/61)        | 26.1% (18/69)              | 0.133          |

TABLE 2. Comparison of clinical data between cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) and cervical cancer (CC).

| TABLE 2. Continued.        |                      |               |                            |                |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Characteristics            | Classification       | CIN (n = 61)  | Cervical cancer $(n = 69)$ | <i>p</i> value |  |  |  |  |
| Uterine examination        |                      |               |                            |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Anterior uterus      | 57.4% (35/61) | 75.4% (52/69)              | 0.042          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Median uterus        | 16.4% (10/61) | 8.7% (6/69)                | 0.195          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Posterior uterus     | 26.2% (16/61) | 15.9% (11/69)              | 0.194          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Atrophy              | 4.9% (3/61)   | 11.6% (8/69)               | 0.216          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Enlarge              | 9.8% (6/61)   | 13.0% (9/69)               | 0.596          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Hypertrophy          | 1.6% (1/61)   | 1.4% (1/69)                | 1.000          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Surface irregularity | 9.8% (6/61)   | 13.0% (9/69)               | 0.596          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Poor mobility        | 0% (0/61)     | 11.6% (8/69)               | 0.007          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Tenderness           | 1.6% (1/61)   | 20.3% (14/69)              | 0.001          |  |  |  |  |
| Uterine adnexa examination |                      |               |                            |                |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Adnexal mass         | 1.6% (1/61)   | 2.9% (2/69)                | 1.000          |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Tenderness           | 1.6% (1/61)   | 0% (0/69)                  | 0.469          |  |  |  |  |
| Involving glands           |                      | 60.7% (37/61) | 21.7% (15/69)              | < 0.001        |  |  |  |  |
| HPV test                   | Positive             | 29.5% (18/61) | 14.5% (10/69)              | 0.054          |  |  |  |  |

*AFP: alpha-fetoprotein; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; CA: carbohydrate antigen; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma antigen; HPV: human papillomavirus.* 





| TABLE 3. Diagnostic e | efficacy of three serum | miRNAs and tumor | markers in | diagnosing | CIN. |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------|
|                       |                         |                  |            |            |      |

| Characteristics | AUC   | SE    | AUC 95% CI |       | Sensitivity | Specificity | <i>p</i> value |
|-----------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
|                 |       |       | Lower      | Upper |             |             |                |
| hsa-miR-146b-5p | 0.646 | 0.069 | 0.511      | 0.781 | 0.400       | 0.869       | 0.034          |
| hsa-miR-192-5p  | 0.839 | 0.045 | 0.751      | 0.927 | 0.720       | 0.836       | < 0.001        |
| hsa-miR-122-5p  | 0.568 | 0.074 | 0.422      | 0.714 | 0.240       | 0.967       | 0.325          |
| CEA (µg/L)      | 0.542 | 0.048 | 0.449      | 0.636 | 0.344       | 0.788       | 0.369          |
| SCC (ng/mL)     | 0.603 | 0.079 | 0.449      | 0.758 | 0.500       | 0.731       | 0.177          |

SE: Standard Error; CI: Confidence Interval; AUC: area under the ROC curve. p value < 0.05 was considered significant.



FIGURE 4. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis of three serum miRNAs and tumor markers in diagnosing CIN. AUC: area under the ROC curve; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma antigen.



FIGURE 5. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis of the proposed three serum miRNAs and tumor markers in diagnosing cervical cancer. AUC: area under the ROC curve; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma antigen.

| 「ABLE 4. Diagn | ostic efficacy of thre   | e serum miRNAs an        | d tumor markers i | n diagnosing    | z cervical cancer. |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|                | lostic criticacy of this | e sei uni minte il is un | a camor marners r | ii alagiiosiii, | a con vicun cumeen |

| Characteristics                    | AUC   | SE    | AUC 95% CI |       | Sensitivity | Specificity | p value |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------------|-------------|---------|
|                                    |       |       | Lower      | Upper |             |             |         |
| miR-146b-5p                        | 0.720 | 0.058 | 0.607      | 0.833 | 0.455       | 0.918       | < 0.001 |
| miR-192-5p                         | 0.775 | 0.054 | 0.669      | 0.880 | 0.606       | 0.902       | < 0.001 |
| miR-122-5p                         | 0.644 | 0.062 | 0.523      | 0.765 | 0.697       | 0.607       | 0.017   |
| CEA (µg/L)                         | 0.680 | 0.055 | 0.573      | 0.787 | 0.375       | 0.909       | 0.002   |
| SCC (ng/mL)                        | 0.750 | 0.043 | 0.666      | 0.834 | 0.389       | 0.981       | < 0.001 |
| miR-146b + miR-192 + miR-122 + SCC | 0.840 | 0.050 | 0.742      | 0.938 | 0.632       | 0.957       | < 0.001 |

*SE:* Standard Error; CI: Confidence Interval; AUC: area under the ROC curve; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma antigen. p value < 0.05 was considered significant.



FIGURE 6. Correlation analysis of miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p.

122-5p (r = 0.475, p < 0.001) (Fig. 6).

### 4. Discussion

The etiologies of cervical cancer have been shown to be mainly related to persistent HPV infection, sexual behavior and the number of deliveries. It is considered to be the most common gynecological malignant tumor in women [2, 14]. In recent years, the age for cervical cancer diagnosis has tended to be younger, and the universal application of cervical cytology screening has enabled the early detection and treatment of cervical cancer and precancerous lesions, which have led to a significant decrease in its incidence and mortality rates [15, 16]. However, the sensitivity of standard cervical cytology has remained low, with the detection of CIN being quite challenging, which has led to an urge to research more sensitive molecular diagnostic methods to improve their detection efficiency and accuracy. In this study, we assessed the differential expression of serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p between CIN and cervical cancer patients and constructed the ROC curve for each miRNA. Additionally, we calculated the AUC under the ROC and evaluated the specificity and sensitivity of three miRNAs of interest to determine their significance for early cervical cancer diagnosis.

miRNAs are evolutionarily conserved non-coding small endogenous RNA molecules [17]. They can inhibit translation or induce mRNA degradation by pairing with 30 untranslated regions (30UTR) of specific target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and play an important role as post-transcriptional gene regulators [18-20]. Studies have shown that miRNAs are also key in many cancer-related biological processes [21-23] and demonstrated important associations with cancer progression and metastasis. They were also reported to play a part in tumor inhibition or carcinogenesis [24, 25]. These indicate the potential promise of using miRNAs for diagnosing and predicting various diseases. Quantitative PCR is the most commonly used method to measure miRNA expression [26]. A large number of studies have shown that the abnormal expression of miRNA was closely related to the proliferation, apoptosis and invasion of cervical cancer cells, making miRNAs a new target for the diagnosis, treatment and prognosis evaluation of cervical cancer [27-32].

Although the pathogenesis of tumors is different, tumors are usually characterized by unlimited proliferation and rapid

metastasis. In this study, we observed that the expression levels of three serum miRNAs, miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p, were upregulated in cervical cancer patients compared with controls. In vitro investigations showed that miR-146b-5p inhibited the G0/G1 proliferation cycle and downregulated the expression of HPV16 E7 in CaSki cervical cancer cells [33]. miR-146b-5p inhibited the growth and metastasis of various types of cancers [34]. Additionally, it was also reported that miR-146b-5p was associated with breast cancer, pancreatic cancer and thyroid cancer. miR-146b-5p was shown to downregulate BRCA1 (breast cancer susceptibility gene 1) expression in triple negative sporadic breast cancer [35], and miR-146b-5p targeted MMP16 to inhibit the migration and invasion of pancreatic cancer cells [36] and promoted metastasis and induced the epithelial-mesenchymal transformation of thyroid carcinoma by targeting ZNRF3 (zinc ring finger 3) [37]. miR-192-5p inhibited the proliferation and invasion of cervical cancer by targeting TRPM7 [38]. Previous studies confirmed that miR-192-5p inhibited tumorigenesis in many different cancers. The upregulation of miR-192-5p was shown to downregulate the activity of BMPR2 (bone morphogenetic protein type II) and inhibit the RhoA-ROCK-LIMK2 pathway to prevent the growth of colon cancer cells [39]. Additionally, the downregulation of mir-192-5p played a tumor-suppressive role in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and demonstrated promising prospects as a biomarker for its diagnosis and prognosis evaluation [40]. Moreover, miR-192-5p was shown to inhibit the proliferation of lung cancer cells and led to apoptosis by binding to retinoblastoma [41]. The ROC analysis results of our present study showed that miR-146b-5p (AUC = 0.646) and miR-192-5p (AUC = 0.839) had certain diagnostic values in diagnosing CIN. In particular, serum miR-192-5p had the best diagnostic efficiency, with a sensitivity and specificity of 0.720 and 0.836, respectively. Recent studies showed that miR-192 could be a potential biomarker for the early detection of cervical cancer [42], which was consistent with the results of our study. Also, previous studies found that miR-122-5p inhibited the development of cervical cancer by targeting the oncogene RAD21 (human homolog of Schizosaccharomyces pombe radiation sensitive mutant 21) [43] and blocked the HPV E6 gene and increased interferon signaling by interfering with cytokine signaling inhibitors in SiHa cells [44]. miR-122-5p was proven to play an anti-tumor role in many cancers, such as gastric cancer, liver cancer and melanoma [45-47].

Studies have also shown that the overexpression of miR-122-5p could trigger the cell cycle arrest and apoptosis of cancer cells by reducing the expression of Bcl-W (B-cell lymphoma w) and/or CCNG1 (cyclin G1) [48]. All these findings suggest that miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p play a key role as signaling molecules in physiological and pathological events.

Surgery is usually recommended for patients with severe CIN. Patients who do not have fertility requirements can choose from cervical conization or total hysterectomy. We also focused on whether the investigated three miRNAs could be used as diagnostic markers for early cervical cancer. Our results showed that compared with controls, the relative expressions of serum miR-146b-5p and miR-192-5p in CIN patients were significantly higher by 1.57 and 2.36 times, respectively. Although there was no difference in the relative expression of miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p between patients with CIN and cervical cancer, the relative expression demonstrated a gradual upward trend from CIN to cervical cancer (Fig. 2). Another study showed no difference in the relative expression of four serum miRNAs (miR-21, miR-200a, miR-25, miR-486-5p) between CIN and cervical cancer, which was concordant with our results to a certain extent [49]. Additionally, we further analyzed the distinction of three miRNAs in the serum of CIN and cervical cancer patients classified as FIGO stage I, and the results showed that the expression of miR-146b-5p in the serum of the cervical cancer patients was significantly higher than that of CIN patients and controls (Fig. 3). By analyzing the expression of serum miRNAs in patients with CIN and cervical cancer, we found that the three miRNAs had certain significance for early cervical cancer diagnosis. The results of our study also showed that when the three miRNAs were combined with CEA and SCC to diagnose cervical cancer, the best AUC of miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p, miR-122-5p and SCC was 0.840, and the corresponding sensitivity and specificity were 0.632 and 0.957 respectively. Our result proved that the combined diagnosis of the three miRNAs with SCC had certain synergizing effects. ROC curve analysis showed that compared with any single miRNA-based detection, i.e., SCC and CEA, the combination of our proposed three miRNAs and SCC had great clinical value for the diagnosis of cervical cancer.

### 5. Conclusions

In summary, the relative expression of serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p in cervical cancer was significantly increased, and in CIN, the serum level miR-146b-5p and miR-192-5p were highly expressed. Serum miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p demonstrated promising efficacies as noninvasive and potentially accurate biomarkers for the diagnosis of cervical cancer and showed certain value for the early diagnosis of cervical cancer, in particular, miR-146b-5p and miR-192-5p. Additionally, the combined detection of miR-146b-5p, miR-192-5p and miR-122-5p with SCC could improve the diagnostic efficiency of cervical cancer. Therefore, the identification and classification of cervical cancer-specific miRNAs could play an important role in improving

the detection, treatment and outcomes of patients with CIN and cervical cancer.

#### AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to (reason why data are not public) but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JZN and XYW—Conception; XZ, MJZ and XJL—Extraction and amplification of RNA; DGL and MLJ—Interpretation or analysis of data; XJL—Preparation of the manuscript; XZ—Revision for important intellectual content; XYW— Supervision.

### ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

All participants involved in this study provided written informed consent, and this research was approved by the Ethics Committee of Chinese PLA General Hospital (no. S2015-127-01).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank all staff in the Department of Clinical Laboratory of Beijing Friendship Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University and Department of Clinical Biochemistry in Clinical Laboratory at the Chinese PLA General Hospital for their support.

#### FUNDING

This study was supported by the Clinical Research Support Fund of Chinese PLA General Hospital (2015FC-CXYY-1004).

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians. 2018; 68: 394–424.
- [2] Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians. 2021; 71: 209–249.
- [3] de Martel C, Plummer M, Vignat J, Franceschi S. Worldwide burden of cancer attributable to HPV by site, country and HPV type. International Journal of Cancer. 2017; 141: 664–670.
- [4] Jardin F, Ruminy P, Penther D. MicroRNA and haematology. Hématologie. 2008; 14: 117–128.

- [5] Slimene I, Messaoudi I, Elloumi A, lachiri Z. MicroRNA expression classification for human disease prediction. 2021 18th International Multi-Conference on Systems, Signals & Devices (SSD). IEEE: Monastir, Tunisia. 2021.
- [6] Laffont B, Rayner KJ. MicroRNAs in the pathobiology and therapy of atherosclerosis. Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2017; 33: 313–324.
- [7] Lu J, Getz G, Miska EA, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Lamb J, Peck D, et al. MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. Nature. 2005; 435: 834–838.
- [8] Nair VB, Manasa VG, Sinto MS, Jayasree K, James FV, Kannan S. Differential Expression of MicroRNAs in uterine cervical cancer and its implications in carcinogenesis; an integrative approach. International Journal of Gynecologic Cancer. 2018; 28: 553–562.
- [9] Deftereos G, Corrie SR, Feng QH, Morihara J, Stern J, Hawes SE, et al. Expression of Mir-21 and Mir-143 in cervical specimens ranging from histologically normal through to invasive cervical cancer. PLOS ONE. 2011; 6: e28423.
- [10] Zhang Z, Wang J, Li J, Wang X, Song W. MicroRNA-150 promotes cell proliferation, migration, and invasion of cervical cancer through targeting PDCD4. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2018; 97: 511–517.
- [11] Yang Y, Song K, Chang H, Chen L. Decreased expression of microRNA-126 is associated with poor prognosis in patients with cervical cancer. Diagnostic Pathology. 2014; 9: 220.
- [12] Pecorelli S. Revised FIGO staging for carcinoma of the vulva, cervix, and endometrium. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2009; 105: 103–104.
- <sup>[13]</sup> Arocho A, Chen B, Ladanyi M, Pan Q. Validation of the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  calculation as an alternate method of data analysis for quantitative PCR of BCR-ABL P210 transcripts. Diagnostic Molecular Pathology. 2006; 15: 56–61.
- [14] Lucksom PG, Sherpa ML, Pradhan A, Lal S, Gupta C. Advances in HPV screening tests for cervical cancer—a review. The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India. 2022; 72: 13–18.
- [15] Gopalani SV, Janitz AE, Campbell JE. Cervical cancer incidence and mortality among non-hispanic African American and white women, United States, 1999–2015. Journal of the National Medical Association. 2020; 112: 632–638.
- [16] Singh M, Jha RP, Shri N, Bhattacharyya K, Patel P, Dhamnetiya D. Secular trends in incidence and mortality of cervical cancer in India and its states, 1990–2019: data from the Global Burden of Disease 2019 Study. BMC Cancer. 2022; 22: 149.
- [17] Friedman RC, Farh KK, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. Genome Research. 2009; 19: 92– 105.
- [18] Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell. 2009; 136: 215–233.
- [19] Pillai RS. MicroRNA function: multiple mechanisms for a tiny RNA? RNA. 2005; 11: 1753–1761.
- [20] Ha M, Kim VN. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 2014; 15: 509–524.
- [21] Zhao C, Sun G, Li S, Shi Y. A feedback regulatory loop involving microRNA-9 and nuclear receptor TLX in neural stem cell fate determination. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology. 2009; 16: 365– 371.
- [22] Esquela-Kerscher A, Trang P, Wiggins JF, Patrawala L, Cheng A, Ford L, et al. The let-7 microRNA reduces tumor growth in mouse models of lung cancer. Cell Cycle. 2008; 7: 759–764.
- [23] Liao J, Liu R, Shi Y, Yin L, Pu Y. Exosome-shuttling microRNA-21 promotes cell migration and invasion-targeting PDCD4 in esophageal cancer. International Journal of Oncology. 2016; 48: 2567–2579.
- <sup>[24]</sup> Ma Y, Zhang P, Wang F, Zhang H, Yang Y, Shi C, *et al.* Elevated oncofoetal miR-17-5p expression regulates colorectal cancer progression by repressing its target gene P130. Nature Communications 2012; 3: 1291.
- <sup>[25]</sup> Korpal M, Ell BJ, Buffa FM, Ibrahim T, Blanco MA, Celià-Terrassa T, *et al.* Direct targeting of Sec23a by miR-200s influences cancer cell secretome and promotes metastatic colonization. Nature Medicine. 2011; 17: 1101–1108.
- [26] Veryaskina YA, Titov SE, Zhimulev IF. Reference genes for qPCR-Based miRNA expression profiling in 14 human tissues. Medical Principles and

Practice. 2022; 31: 322-332.

- <sup>[27]</sup> Du W, Huo ZR, Liang CC, Tao S-C, Sun YP. MicroRNA—new approach to diagnosis, treatment and prognosis prediction of breast cancer. Journal of Shanghai Jiao Tong University. 2013; 33: 510–515.
- [28] Jiang L, Zhu Z, He C. Expression of miRNA-26b in the diagnosis and prognosis of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. Future Oncology. 2016; 12: 1105–1115.
- <sup>[29]</sup> Zhuang Z, Sun C, Gong H. High serum miR-484 expression is associated with the diagnosis and prognosis of patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Experimental and therapeutic medicine 2019; 18: 4095–4102.
- [30] Lin Q, Chen T, Lin Q, Lin G, Lin J, Chen G, et al. Serum miR-19a expression correlates with worse prognosis of patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Journal of Surgical Oncology. 2013; 107: 767–771.
- [31] Ma R, Zhao M, Zou X, Zhou J, Bai Z. MicroRNA polymorphism: a target for diagnosis and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma? Oncology Letters 2021; 21: 324.
- [32] Bonfrate L, Altomare DF, Di Lena M, Travaglio E, Rotelli MT, De Luca A, et al. MicroRNA in colorectal cancer: new perspectives for diagnosis, prognosis and treatment. Journal of Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases. 2013; 22: 311–320.
- [33] Shen C, Yang H, Liu H, Wang X, Zhang Y, Xu R. Inhibitory effect and mechanisms of microRNA-146b-5p on the proliferation and metastatic potential of Caski human cervical cancer cells. Molecular Medicine Reports. 2015; 11: 3955–3961.
- [34] Kutty RK, Nagineni CN, Samuel W, Vijayasarathy C, Jaworski C, Duncan T, *et al.* Differential regulation of microRNA-146a and microRNA-146b-5p in human retinal pigment epithelial cells by interleukin-1beta, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and interferon-gamma. Molecular Vision. 2013; 19: 737–750.
- [35] Garcia AI, Buisson M, Bertrand P, Rimokh R, Rouleau E, Lopez BS, et al. Down-regulation of BRCA1 expression by miR-146a and miR-146b-5p in triple negative sporadic breast cancers. EMBO Molecular Medicine. 2011; 3: 279–290.
- [36] Lin F, Wang X, Jie Z, Hong X, Li X, Wang M, et al. Inhibitory effects of miR-146b-5p on cell migration and invasion of pancreatic cancer by targeting MMP16. Journal of Huazhong University of Science and Technology. 2011; 31: 509–514.
- [37] Deng X, Wu B, Xiao K, Kang J, Xie J, Zhang X, et al. MiR-146b-5p promotes metastasis and induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition in thyroid cancer by targeting ZNRF3. Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry. 2015; 35: 71–82.
- [38] Dong RF, Zhuang YJ, Wang Y, Zhang ZY, Xu XZ, Mao YR, et al. Tumor suppressor miR-192-5p targets TRPM7 and inhibits proliferation and invasion in cervical cancer. The Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences. 2021; 37: 699–708.
- [39] Huang YL, Li XH, Ma H, Yue HY, Hu XY. Metabolites of intestinal microflora upregulate miR-192-5p to suppress proliferation of colon cancer cells *via* RhoA-ROCK-LIMK2 pathway. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences. 2020; 24: 1794–1806.
- [40] Flammang I, Reese M, Yang Z, Eble JA, Dhayat SA. Tumor-suppressive miR-192-5p has prognostic value in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancers. 2020; 12: 1693.
- [41] Feng S, Cong S, Zhang X, Bao X, Wang W, Li H, et al. MicroRNA-192 targeting retinoblastoma 1 inhibits cell proliferation and induces cell apoptosis in lung cancer cells. Nucleic Acids Research. 2011; 39: 6669– 6678.
- [42] Farzanehpour M, Mozhgani S, Jalilvand S, Faghihloo E, Akhavan S, Salimi V, et al. Serum and tissue miRNAs: potential biomarkers for the diagnosis of cervical cancer. Virology Journal. 2019; 16: 116.
- [43] Yang Y, Liu Y, Liu W, Li C, Liu Y, Hu W, et al. MiR-122 inhibits the cervical cancer development by targeting the oncogene RAD21. Biochemical Genetics. 2022; 60: 303–314.
- [44] He J, Ji Y, Li A, Zhang Q, Song W, Li Y, et al. MiR-122 directly inhibits human papillomavirus E6 gene and enhances interferon signaling through blocking suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 in SiHa cells. PLOS ONE. 2014; 9: e108410.
- [45] Xu X, Gao F, Wang J, Tao L, Ye J, Ding L, et al. MiR-122-5p inhibits cell migration and invasion in gastric cancer by down-regulating DUSP4. Cancer Biology & Therapy. 2018; 19: 427–435.
- <sup>[46]</sup> Ma J, Li T, Han X, Yuan H. Knockdown of LncRNA ANRIL suppresses

cell proliferation, metastasis, and invasion *via* regulating miR-122-5p expression in hepatocellular carcinoma. Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology. 2018; 144: 205–214.

- [47] Li J, Zhao R, Fang R, Wang J. miR-122-5p inhibits the proliferation of melanoma cells by targeting NOP14. Journal of Southern Medical University. 2018; 38: 1360–1365. (In Chinese)
- [48] Ergün S, Ulasli M, Igci YZ, Igci M, Kırkbes S, Borazan E, et al. The association of the expression of miR-122-5p and its target ADAM10 with human breast cancer. Molecular Biology Reports. 2015; 42: 497–505.
- <sup>[49]</sup> Jia W, Wu Y, Zhang Q, Gao GE, Zhang C, Xiang Y. Expression profile

of circulating microRNAs as a promising fingerprint for cervical cancer diagnosis and monitoring. Molecular and Clinical Oncology. 2015; 3: 851–858.

How to cite this article: Xiujuan Li, Xu Zhang, Minjie Zhang, Xiaojuan Luan, Diangeng Li, Meiling Jin, *et al.* Three serum miRNAs as potential biomarkers for early diagnosis of cervical cancer. European Journal of Gynaecological Oncology. 2024; 45(4): 71-82. doi: 10.22514/ejgo.2024.070.