# ORIGINAL RESEARCH



# Prognostic value of Ki-67 in patients with ovarian cancer

Su Wan<sup>1,2</sup>, Chang Su<sup>1</sup>, Yong Wang<sup>3</sup>, Jin Ding<sup>1</sup>, Qingya Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Huafeng Ding<sup>1,\*</sup>, Guantai Ni<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wannan Medical College, 241000 Wuhu, Anhui, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, 215000 Suzhou, Jiangsu, China <sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Wannan Medical College, 241000 Wuhu, Anhui, China

#### \*Correspondence

niguantai@yjsyy.com (Guantai Ni); dinghuafeng@wnmc.edu.cn (Huafeng Ding)

### **Abstract**

Background: The present work focused on investigating if Ki-67 antigen (Ki-67) could be used to predict prognosis of epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC). Methods: This study retrospectively identified 78 consecutive epithelial ovarian cancer cases who underwent surgery at the First Affiliated Hospital of Wannan Medical College between January 2014 and April 2017. The association between Ki-67 expression and clinicopathological characteristics and survival was analyzed. Results: High Ki-67 expression was significantly associated with high stage, high histological grade, ascites, and high level of cancer antigen 125 (CA125) (p = 0.011, p = 0.031, p = 0.033, p = 0.0330.004, respectively). In addition, high Ki-67 expression was significantly associated with poor prognosis (p = 0.002), which was further confirmed using a multivariate Cox regression hazards model (Hazard Ratio (HR) = 1.797, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.038-3.111, p = 0.026). Conclusions: Ki-67 expression may be a significant prognostic factor in epithelial ovarian cancer.

### Keywords

Ki-67; Ovarian cancer; Survival; Prognosis

### 1. Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the third most prevalent gynecological malignant tumor and is the most considerable cause of gynecological cancer-associated mortality [1]. Early diagnosis of ovarian cancer is made in only a few patients; these patients are often eligible for curative resection, followed by chemotherapy. Notably, approximately 75% of patients with ovarian cancer present with advanced disease at diagnosis [2]. Although the diagnosis and treatment of ovarian cancer have improved, the prognosis remains poor. For early ovarian cancer cases, the 5-year survival can be as high as 90%; however, for advanced cancer cases, the 5-year survival is <30% [3].

Due to the poor prognosis of ovarian cancer, several studies have been conducted to explore the different survival-related factors such as disease stage, age, performance status, histological tumor grade, genomic analysis, and biomarkers [4–6]. Effective prognosis-related factors can assist in stratifying specific patients into subgroups based on various survival rates; this is of great importance for tailored treatment decisionmaking [7].

Cancer proliferation significantly affects clinical and biological behavior. The Ki-67 antigen is a protein antigen related to cell proliferation and division in the cell nucleus. Its coding gene is located on chromosome 10 [8].

Ki-67, the factor indicating cancer proliferation, is significantly related to the prognosis of cancers such as non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and breast cancer (BC) [8, 9]. Several studies have evaluated the use of Ki-67 levels in predicting the prognosis of ovarian cancer, but their findings remain conflicting [10, 11]. Moreover, limited data are available to investigate the clinicopathological features of Ki-67 in ovarian cancer. Consequently, the present work retrospectively analyzed the association between Ki-67 expression and clinicopathological characteristics and survival to identify potential molecular markers for predicting the prognosis of patients with ovarian cancer.

# 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1 Study subjects

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Wannan Medical College, and all patients provided written informed consent prior to tissue sample collection. We conducted a retrospective analysis of data from patients with epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) who underwent surgery at the First Affiliated Hospital of Wannan Medical College between January 2014 and April 2017. The pathology was confirmed as EOC through both surgical cytology and histology, and the EOC histological diagnosis was primarily based on optical microscopy and evaluated by two independent pathologists.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for Samples. Inclusion Criteria: Patients pathologically diagnosed with epithelial ovarian cancer. Patients who have not received prior chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or surgery for ovarian cancer. Availability of complete clinical and follow-up data. Written informed consent obtained from the patient. Exclusion Criteria: Patients with a history of other malignant tumors. Presence of severe

comorbidities (e.g., uncontrolled cardiovascular, liver, or kidney diseases). Patients with incomplete clinical or pathological data. Patients unwilling or unable to comply with study protocols.

There were 78 eligible patients aged 29–77 (average, 55) years. Clinicopathological features such as age, International Federation of Gynecologist and Obstetrics (FIGO) stage (low stage: I + II; high stage: III + IV), histological grade, tumor size, ascites status, CA125 serum level, lymph node metastasis (LNM), histological type, and Ki-67 expression were analyzed. The preoperative CA125 serum level ranged between 56.60 and 1000 u/mL, with a median value of 400 u/mL. The tumor diameter ranged from 1.9 to 20 cm, with a median value of 8 cm. Postoperative treatment and monitoring were conducted following specific guidelines. Patients were followed up for 3–113 (median, 44) months. Patient survival was determined between the diagnosis date and the final follow-up or death date. A total of 54 cases died and 24 patients were censored in follow-up.

# 2.2 Immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis

We carried out IHC analysis using the formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) sections according to the manufacturer's instructions [7]. Molecular Immunology Borstel number 1 antibody (MIB-1) monoclonal antibody (MXB, Fuzhou) was used to detect Ki-67 levels. Nuclei were stained for Ki-67 expression, and the number of Ki-67-positive cancer cells number was determined from selected fields. We determined Ki-67 expression using the Ki-67-positive cancer cell proportion in 2000 cancer cells in the high-power fields (magnification,  $400\times$ ). The expression of Ki-67 was independently evaluated by two experienced pathologists without knowledge of any clinical information on the samples, and discrepancies in expression level were resolved by a mutual discussion.

# 2.3 Statistical analysis

Categorical data were presented as numbers (n) or percentages, and any differences between groups were analyzed using the chi-square test. Alternatively, Fisher's exact test was used when the chi-square assumption was violated. Log-rank test and Kaplan-Meier analysis were used to assess survival. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed using a Cox proportional hazards model to obtain hazard ratios (HRs). Variables with p < 0.05 upon univariate regression were included in a multivariate Cox analysis. p < 0.05 (two-tailed) was considered significant. SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was employed for all statistical analyses.

## 3. Results

Out of the 78 included cases, 75 (96.2%) ovarian cancer cases expressed Ki-67; the expression was 0–90% (median, 50%). Ki-67 expression was classified into low ( $\leq$ 50%) and high (>50%) expression groups.

# 3.1 Association between Ki-67 level and clinical features

According to Table 1, high Ki-67 level was markedly related to high stage, high histological grade, ascites, and high CA125 level (p = 0.011, 0.031, 0.033 and 0.004, respectively). Age, LNM, tumor size, and histological type were not significantly associated with Ki-67 expression (p = 0.613, p = 0.927, p = 0.914 and p = 0.214, respectively).

# 3.2 Association between Ki-67 level and survival

A Kaplan-Meier analysis was conducted to examine patient survival (Fig. 1). The cumulative survival rate of patients with high expression of Ki-67 was 17.34%, whereas the cumulative survival rate of patients with low expression of Ki-67 was 38.57%. Cases with high Ki-67 levels had lower survival rates relative to those with low Ki-67 levels (p = 0.002). Stage, histological grade, ascites, and serous carcinoma (the non-serous pathological types of adenocarcinoma include clear cell carcinoma and mucinous cystadenocarcinoma) were also markedly related to survival (p < 0.001, 0.031, 0.001 and 0.031 respectively). However, age, tumor size, CA125 levels, and LNM did not significantly affect survival (p > 0.05).

Table 2 displays the Cox proportional hazards model. Upon univariate regression, high Ki-67 expression, high stage, high histological grade, ascites, and non-serous carcinoma were significantly associated with poor survival (high Ki-67 expression: HR = 2.263, 95% CI = 1.314–3.897, p=0.003; high stage: HR = 6.678, 95% CI = 2.362–18.880, p<0.001; high histological grade: HR = 2.882, 95% CI = 1.038–8.001, p=0.042; ascites: HR = 3.267, 95% CI = 1.581–6.749, p=0.001, non-serous carcinoma: HR = 1.985, 95% CI = 1.040–3.787, p=0.038). Upon multivariate regression, both high Ki-67 expression, high stage, and non-serous independently predicted prognosis (high Ki-67 level: HR = 1.797, 95% CI = 1.038–3.111, p=0.026; high stage: HR = 5.909, 95% CI = 2.067–16.896, p=0.008; non-serous carcinoma: HR = 1.912, 95% CI = 1.080–3.284, p<0.001).

## 4. Discussion

The current work enrolled EOC cases in one center and investigated the effect of Ki-67 levels on clinicopathological characteristics and survival in EOC. Ki-67 level was markedly related to the FIGO stage, histological grade, ascites, and CA125 level at diagnosis. Cases with high Ki-67 expression had higher FIGO stages, higher histological grade, ascites, and higher levels of CA125. Accordingly, high Ki-67 expression markedly predicted poor prognosis, as evidenced by the Cox regression hazards model.

The survival of patients with ovarian cancer has moderately improved over the past 30 years. The majority of patients with ovarian cancer present with extensive peritoneal spread beyond the pelvic cavity and only 30% of these patients survive beyond 5 years [3]. Moreover, ovarian cancer is highly heterogenous, thus even cases showing advanced cancers with similar morphology may have different survival rates [2]. Therefore, identifying efficient prognosis-related factors is crucial

TABLE 1. Association of Ki-67 expression with clinicopathological characteristics.

Characteristics	No.	Ki-67 ex	Ki-67 expression		
		Low	High		
Age					
≤55	44	26 (54.2)	18 (60.0)	0.613	
>55	34	22 (45.8)	12 (40.0)	0.015	
Stage					
I–II	17	15 (31.2)	2 (6.7)	0.011	
III–IV	61	33 (68.8)	28 (93.3)	0.011	
Histological grade					
low	11	10 (20.8)	1 (3.3)	0.031	
high	67	38 (79.2)	29 (96.7)	0.031	
Tumor size					
≤8	37	23 (47.9)	14 (46.7)	0.914	
>8	41	25 (52.1)	16 (53.3)	0.914	
Ascites					
no	24	19 (39.6)	5 (16.7)	0.033	
yes	54	29 (60.4)	25 (83.3)	0.033	
CA125					
≤400	37	29 (60.4)	8 (26.7)	0.004	
>400	41	19 (39.6)	22 (73.3)	0.004	
Lymph node metastasis*					
Negative	38	23 (48.9)	15 (50.0)	0.927	
Positive	39	24 (51.1)	15 (50.0)	0.927	
Serous carcinoma					
yes	62	36 (75.0)	26 (86.7)	0.214	
no	16	12 (25.0)	4 (13.3)	U.Z14	

<sup>\*</sup>Unresected lymph in 1 case. CA125: cancer antigen 125.

to assist in tailoring treatment strategies and stratifying cases. Several articles on ovarian cancer prognosis-related factors have been published to explain survival heterogeneity [5]. Typically, prognosis-related factors with the highest reproducibility include stage extent, breast cancer susceptibility gene1/2 (*BRCA1/2*) status, and residual disease. Some additional prognosis-related factors such as age, CA125 levels, and histological grade have been investigated but no consistent results were obtained [12].

Cell proliferation plays an important role in ovarian cancer. Several reports have suggested that estimating the number of proliferative cells and measuring the degree of cell proliferation have prognostic value in ovarian cancer [13]. Many studies have reported that the Ki-67 protein proliferation index is an excellent prognostic factor in patients with EOC, and the median survival of patients with ovarian cancer who express high levels of Ki-67 is lower than that of those with low expression levels [14]. Similarly, the results of this study indicate that high expression of Ki-67 is a predictive factor for poor prognosis in ovarian cancer. Rödel *et al.* [15] found that patients with early-stage EOC (stage I/II, low-grade, serous) who have high expression levels of Ki-67 had significantly

shorter progression-free survival and overall survival compared to patients with low expression levels. However, this significant difference was not observed in patients with late-stage EOC (stage III/IV) [15]. Therefore, the prognostic value of the Ki-67 index in ovarian cancer remains controversial.

The inconsistency among these studies may be attributable to several factors. First, the different cutoff values for high Ki-67 expression could be a contributing factor. Our present study used a cutoff value of >50% as a high expression, which is consistent with a previous study [11] but larger than that of other studies [14, 16]. Second, the variations in the study population could also be a limitation. Some studies included patients with stage I to IV ovarian cancer [12, 14], whereas only patients with advanced ovarian cancer were included in some other studies [17, 18]. Meanwhile, the included pathological types also differed. For example, the inclusion criterion was only restricted to low-grade serous ovarian cancer [19]. Nevertheless, other studies have included all pathological types of EOC [12, 14]. Third, spatial and temporal heterogeneity in Ki-67 levels also facilitated discrepancies between these studies [20].

With regards to the association between Ki-67 expression




FIGURE 1. Overrall suivival (OS) analyzed by Kaplan-Meier method. OS examined by Kaplan-Meier analysis for Ki-67 expression (A), stage (B), histological grade (C), ascites (D) and Serous carcinoma (E).

TABLE 2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of prognostic factors.

Characteristics	Univariate			Multivariate		
	Hazard Ratio	95% CI	p	Hazard Ratio	95% CI	p
Stage						
I–II	1.000	2.362-18.880	< 0.001	1.000	2.067–16.896	0.008
III–IV	6.678	2.302-18.880		5.909		
Histological grade						
low	1.000	1.038-8.001	0.042	-	-	-
high	2.882	1.038-8.001		-		
Ascites						
no	1.000	1.581–6.749	0.001	-	-	-
yes	3.267	1.361-0.749	0.001	-		
Serous carcinoma						
yes	1.000	1.040-3.787	0.038	-	1.080-3.284	< 0.001
no	1.985	1.040-3.787	0.038	1.912		
Ki-67						
≤50	1.000	1.314–3.897	0.003	1.000	1.038–3.111	0.026
>50	2.263	1.314-3.07/	0.003	1.797		

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

and clinicopathological characteristics, this present work showed that patients with high Ki-67 expression are more likely to have higher FIGO stage, higher histological grade, ascites, and higher levels of CA125. Such association indirectly indicates that Ki-67 upregulation predicates poor prognoses.

### 5. Conclusions

To sum up, this work proved that Ki-67 expression could significantly predict prognosis in EOC. Ki-67 upregulation is predictive of poor prognosis and could be a candidate marker to stratify cases for tailoring treatment strategies. However, it is important to exercise caution in interpreting the conclusions of this study. First, the retrospective nature of the study and the sample size may limit the generalizability of our survival analysis results. Additionally, the Ki-67 cutoff value used in this study was 50%, which was used as a binary threshold, and further research is needed to determine the critical values of the third or fourth quartile of Ki-67. Third, more research is required to explore other prognostic factors for EOC patients, such as new biomarkers and clinical characteristics. The above are the limitations of this study.

#### **AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS**

The data presented in this study are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

SW and GTN—designed the research study. YW and QYJ—performed the research. CS and JD—analyzed the data. SW and HFD—wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study was approved by Medical Ethic Committee of Wannan Medical College, No. 2023(44). All patients agreed to participate this study.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

We sincerely thank for the help provided by Key Laboratory of Non-coding RNA Transformation Research, Wannan Medical College in this study.

## **FUNDING**

Key Laboratory of Non-coding RNA Transformation in Critical Diseases, Wannan Medical College, Anhui Province (No. RNA 202102).

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declares no conflict of interest.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians. 2021; 71: 209–249.
- Wichmann IA, Cuello MA. Obesity and gynecological cancers: a toxic relationship. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2021; 155: 123–134.
- [3] Havasi A, Cainap SS, Havasi AT, Cainap C. Ovarian cancer-insights into platinum resistance and overcoming it. Medicina. 2023; 59: 544.
- [4] Ciucci A, Buttarelli M, Fagotti A, Scambia G, Gallo D. Preclinical models of epithelial ovarian cancer: practical considerations and challenges for a meaningful application. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2022; 79: 364.
- [5] McGee J, Bookman M, Harter P, Marth C, McNeish I, Moore KN, et al. Fifth ovarian cancer consensus conference: individualized therapy and patient factors. Annals of Oncology. 2017; 28: 702–710.
- [6] El Bairi K, Al Jarroudi O, Afqir S. Inexpensive systemic inflammatory biomarkers in ovarian cancer: an umbrella systematic review of 17 prognostic meta-analyses. Frontiers in Oncology, 2021; 11: 694821.
- [7] Pei R, Zhang L, Xie C, Lu Z, Wang G, Yang Z. Prognostic value of Ki-67 expression in patients with extensive-stage small cell lung cancer. Future Oncology. 2017; 13: 1247–1252.
- [8] Menon SS, Guruvayoorappan C, Sakthivel KM, Rasmi RR. Ki-67 protein as a tumour proliferation marker. Clinica Chimica Acta. 2019; 491: 39– 45.
- [9] Finkelman BS, Zhang H, Hicks DG, Turner BM. The Evolution of Ki-67 and breast carcinoma: past observations, present directions, and future considerations. Cancers. 2023; 15: 808.
- [10] Sallum LF, Andrade L, Bastos Eloy da Costa L, Ramalho S, Ferracini AC, Natal RA, et al. BRCA1, Ki67, and β-catenin immunoexpression is not related to differentiation, platinum response, or prognosis in women with low-and high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer. 2018; 28: 437–447.
- [11] Mahadevappa A, Krishna SM, Vimala MG. Diagnostic and prognostic significance of Ki-67 immunohistochemical expression in surface epithelial ovarian carcinoma. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2017; 11: EC08–EC12.
- Tuninetti V, Ghisoni E, Pignata S, Picardo E, Raspagliesi F, Andreetta C, et al. Ki67 as a predictor of response to PARP inhibitors in platinum

- sensitive BRCA wild type ovarian cancer: the MITO 37 retrospective study. Cancers. 2023; 15: 1032.
- [13] Finkelman BS, Zhang H, Hicks DG, Turner BM. The Evolution of Ki-67 and breast carcinoma: past observations, present directions, and future considerations. Cancers. 2023; 15: 808.
- [14] Qiu D, Cai W, Zhang Z, Li H, Zhou D. High Ki-67 expression is significantly associated with poor prognosis of ovarian cancer patients: evidence from a meta-analysis. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 2019; 299: 1415–1427.
- [15] Rödel F, Zhou S, Győrffy B, Raab M, Sanhaji M, Mandal R, et al. The prognostic relevance of the proliferation markers Ki-67 and Plk1 in earlystage ovarian cancer patients with serous, low-grade carcinoma based on mRNA and protein expression. Frontiers in Oncology. 2020; 10: 558932.
- [16] Grabowski JP, Martinez Vila C, Richter R, Taube E, Plett H, Braicu E, et al. Ki67 expression as a predictor of chemotherapy outcome in low-grade serous ovarian cancer. International Journal of Gynecologic Cancer. 2020; 30: 498–503.
- [17] Hu J, Jiao X, Zhu L, Guo H, Wu Y. Establishment and verification of the nomogram that predicts the 3-year recurrence risk of epithelial ovarian carcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2020; 20: 938.
- [18] Kaya R, Takanashi H, Nakajima A, Saito R, Yamaguchi N, Morimoto K, et al. Prognostic significance of Ki67 during neoadjuvant chemotherapy in primary unresectable ovarian cancer. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research. 2021; 47: 3979–3989.
- [19] Sehouli J, Braicu EI, Richter R, Denkert C, Jank P, Jurmeister PS, et al. Prognostic significance of Ki-67 levels and hormone receptor expression in low-grade serous ovarian carcinoma: an investigation of the tumor bank ovarian cancer network. Human Pathology. 2019; 85: 299–308.
- [20] Grabowski JP, Martinez Vila C, Richter R, Taube E, Plett H, Braicu E, et al. Ki67 expression as a predictor of chemotherapy outcome in low-grade serous ovarian cancer. International Journal of Gynecologic Cancer. 2020; 30: 498–503.

**How to cite this article:** Su Wan, Chang Su, Yong Wang, Jin Ding, Qingya Jiang, Huafeng Ding, *et al.* Prognostic value of Ki-67 in patients with ovarian cancer. European Journal of Gynaecological Oncology. 2025; 46(1): 76-81. doi: 10.22514/ejgo.2023.051.